

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/497,694	02/03/2000	Pierluigi Franco	05788.0122 7808	
22850	7590 05/20/20	02		
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC			EXAMINER	
	RSON DAVIS HIGH	LEUNG, CHRISTINA Y		
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2633	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

46

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/497,694	FRANCO ET AL.	`
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Christina Y. Leung	2633	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. Grom the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 F	ebruary 2002 .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under			is
·	on of Claims			
•	Claim(s) 31-52 is/are pending in the application			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 31-52 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requirement.		
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) 🗌 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)🛛	The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>28 Fe</u>	<u>bruary 2002</u> is: a)⊠ approve	ed b) disapproved by the Exam	niner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Appl	ication No	
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional applicati	ion).
) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			
Attachment				
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
J.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		etion Summary	Part of Paper No. 1	10

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on February 28, 2002 have been approved. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 31 and 33-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Mahony ("Non-Linear Optical Transmission Systems," 1993) in view of Antos et al. (US 5361319 A), Northern Telecom Limited (WO 96/27956), and Fontana et al. (US 5570438 A).

Regarding claims 31 and 40, O'Mahony discloses a pulsed transmission system and method (Figure 10), comprising at least one transmission station (including elements such as the "DFB laser" and "EA modulators" shown in Figure 10) for transmitting an optical signal at a transmission wavelength, at least one reception station ("soliton receiver"), a fiber-optic line linking the at least one transmission station and the at least one reception station and at least one optical amplifier ("booster amplifiers") serially linked along the fiber-optic line, wherein the fiber-optical line has an optical chromatic dispersion at the transmission wavelength and comprises:

Art Unit: 2633

a first optical conductor element, having a first chromatic dispersion at the transmission wavelength; and

a chromatic dispersion compensating unit (dispersion shifted fiber or DSF) having a second chromatic dispersion at the transmission wavelength.

O'Mahony does not specifically disclose that the fiber-optical line has a positive chromatic dispersion and that the chromatic dispersion compensating unit is of the opposite sign with respect to the first chromatic dispersion. However, Antos et al. teach a similar transmission system wherein a fiber-optical line and optical conductor element may have a positive chromatic dispersion and a chromatic dispersion compensating unit may have a negative chromatic dispersion (column 6, lines 30-35). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the chromatic dispersion compensating unit taught by Antos et al. as an engineering design choice of a way to counter chromatic dispersion in the system disclosed by O'Mahony.

O'Mahony further discloses that the at least one transmission station comprises a high speed optical pulse transmitter adapted to generate an RZ optical signal at the transmission wavelength, bearing a coded information at a preset frequency (pages 638-639, section 6, "Soliton Experiments"). O'Mahony does not specifically disclose that the ratio Tbit/Tfwhm, between the inverse Tbit of the preset frequency and the duration Tfwhm of the pulses, is higher than 200/75 and lower than 10, but does teach that solitons should be sufficiently spaced apart so that they do not interact with each other (page 636, section 5.2.1, "Soliton interactions). On the other hand, it is also well known in the art that if such a ratio were much higher than necessary to prevent interactions (in other words, if the solitons were spaced very widely apart), data may not be transmitted efficiently or as quickly as possible.

Art Unit: 2633

Northern Telecom Limited teaches that solitons may be around 20% of the bit period width (page 4, lines 1-3). In other words, the ratio between the bit rate Tbit and the pulse duration Tfwhm may be around 5, which is within the claimed range. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to specify that the ratio Tbit/Tfwhm, between the inverse Tbit of the preset frequency and the duration Tfwhm of the pulses, be higher than 200/75 and lower than 10, simply to ensure that the solitons did not interact, as O'Mahony already suggests, while ensuring that data be transmitted as efficiently as possible.

O'Mahony does not specifically disclose that the optical pulses are substantially free from chirp, but Fontana et al. teach that solitons may be produced substantially free from chirp (column 2, lines 27-29). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to ensure that the solitons in the system suggested by O'Mahony, Antos et al., and Northern Telecom Limited were free from chirp in order to keep the signal as free of distortion as possible.

Regarding claim 33, O'Mahony discloses that the high speed optical pulse transmitter (Figure 10) comprises:

an optical pulse modulator (left-side EA modulator provided with a sinusoidal wave) adapted to modulate an optical signal with a sequence of periodic pulses having the duration Tfwhm and the preset frequency;

an optical signal modulator (right-side EA modulator) optically linked to the signal modulator, adapted to modulate the optical signal with the coded information; and

a generator of a continuous optical signal at the transmission wavelength (DFB laser), optically linked to the pulse and signal modulators.

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 34, O'Mahony discloses that the chromatic dispersion compensating unit comprises a second optical conductor element (dispersion shifted fiber or "DSF") serially linked to the first optical conductor element (Figure 10).

Regarding claim 35, as well as it may be understood with regard to 35 U.S.C. 112 discussed above, O'Mahony discloses that the optical signal at the transmission wavelength has, for at least one portion of its propagation path in one of the first and second optical conductor elements, a intensity of a value such as to cause self phase modulation of the optical signal. O'Mahony clearly discloses that self-phase modulation of the signal can counter dispersive effects in order to produce balanced pulses (pages 634-635, particularly 5.1.1, "Self phase modulation").

Regarding claim 36, O'Mahony does not specifically disclose that the optical amplifier has amplification characteristics such that the optical signal at the transmission wavelength has, in at least one portion of its propagation path in one of the first and second optical conductor elements, an intensity of a value such as to undergo self phase modulation. However, as similarly discussed with regard to claim 35 above, O'Mahony suggests that the optical signal may undergo self phase modulation. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to specifically use optical amplifiers of a particular amplification characteristic in the system as a way to induce the self phase modulation of the optical signal as O'Mahony already suggests.

Regarding claim 37, O'Mahony discloses that the first optical conductor element may be an optical fiber but does not specifically disclose that it may be a step-index optical fiber.

However step-index optical fibers are well known in the art, as Fontana et al. in particular teach (column 6, lines 44-46) for use in a pulsed transmission system. It would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 2633

specifically use step-index optical fiber in the system disclosed by O'Mahony as an obvious engineering design choice, especially since O'Mahony already discloses an optical fiber.

Regarding claim 38, O'Mahony discloses that the first optical conductor element is an optical fiber with non-zero dispersion. Regarding claim 39, O'Mahony also discloses that the fiber-optic line comprises chromatic dispersion compensation means (dispersion shifted fiber or DSF) adapted to compensate a fraction of the chromatic dispersion of the line and such that the total chromatic dispersion of the line is between 100% and 120% of the compensated dispersion. It would be recognized by a person of ordinary skill in the art that the DSF disclosed by O'Mahony is designed to substantially compensate dispersion in the line and therefore, close to 100% of the dispersion may be compensated.

4. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Mahony in view of Antos et al., Northern Telecom Limited, and Fontana et al. as applied to claim 31 above, and further in view of Tamburello et al. (US 5267073 A).

Regarding claim 32, O'Mahony in view of Antos et al., Northern Telecom Limited, and Fontana et al. describe a pulse transmission system as discussed above with regard to claim 31. They do not specifically disclose or teach that the transmission station may comprise an interfacing unit.

However, Tamburello et al. teach that a transmission station (Figure 1) may comprise at least an interfacing unit (Figure 2) adapted to receive a first optical signal at the preset frequency bearing the coded information, the interfacing unit including a receiving and converting unit 18 adapted to receive the first information-bearing optical signal, to convert it into an electrical signal bearing the coded information, and to feed the information-bearing electrical signal to the

Art Unit: 2633

high speed optical pulse transmitter. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include an interfacing unit as taught by Tamburello et al. in the system described by O'Mahony in view of Antos et al., Northern Telecom Limited, and Fontana et al. in order to be able to adapt optical signals with existing specifications into the new system.

5. Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Mahony in view of Antos et al., Northern Telecom Limited, and Fontana et al. as applied to claim 40 above, and further in view of AT&T Corp. (EP 0690534 A2).

Regarding claim 41, O'Mahony in view of Antos et al., Northern Telecom Limited, and Fontana et al. describe a pulse transmission method as discussed above with regard to claim 40. They do not specifically disclose or teach details regarding the step of generating the sequence of pulses. However, AT&T Corp. teaches that in a pulse transmission method (Figure 8), the step of generating the sequence of pulses may comprise combining a first periodic electrical signal (from phase control 530) at the preset frequency and at least one second periodic electrical signal at a second frequency which is a harmonic of the preset frequency (from frequency doubler 555 and phase control 565). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the pulse generating step taught by AT&T Corp. in the method disclosed by O'Mahony in view of Antos et al., Northern Telecom Limited, and Fontana et al. as an engineering design choice of a way to generate RZ pulses to be modulated with data signals.

6. Claims 42-45 and 47-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Mahony in view of AT&T Corp. and Northern Telecom Limited.

Regarding claim 42, O'Mahony discloses a high-speed optical pulse transmitter (Figure 10), comprising:

Art Unit: 2633

an optical signal modulator (right-side EA modulator);

an optical pulse modulator, optically linked to the signal modulator (left-side EA modulator); and

a generator of a continuous optical signal (DFB laser), optically linked to the signal and pulse modulators.

O'Mahony discloses that the signal and pulse modulators are driven by electrical signals, and it is well known that such modulators are driven by modulator drivers. O'Mahony does not specifically disclose details regarding drivers for the modulators. However, AT&T Corp. teaches that a signal modulator driver (Figure 8, element 540) for feeding the signal modulator with an electrical signal bearing a coded information with a first frequency may be used. AT&T Corp. further teaches a pulse modulator driver comprising:

a circuit (including phase control 530) for generating a first periodic electrical signal at the first frequency;

a circuit (including frequency doubler 555 and phase control 565) for generating a second periodic electrical signal at a second frequency which is a harmonic of the first frequency; and a combining element 585 for combining the amplified first and second periodic electrical

signals, and for feeding the pulse modulator with the combined signal.

AT&T Corp. does not specifically teach using the signal modulator driver and pulse modulator drivers to drive physically separate optical modulators, but instead teaches combining the electrical outputs to modulate one optical driver with both signal and pulse data. However, it would be well known in the art that the drivers taught by AT&T could be used to drive separate optical modulators as in the system disclosed by O'Mahony. It would have been obvious to a

person of ordinary skill to use the modulator drivers suggested by AT&T Corp. to drive the modulators disclosed by O'Mahony as a way to generate data modulator RZ signals for transmission in the system.

AT&T Corp. does not specifically disclose that the two periodic signals may be amplified, but it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art that such signals may be amplified with amplifiers as needed to produce signals of sufficient strength for use in the system as disclosed by AT&T Corp.

O'Mahony further discloses that the signal modulator emits a sequence of substantially chirp-free optical pulses (pages 638-639, section 6, "Soliton Experiments"). O'Mahony does not specifically disclose that the ratio Tbit/Tfwhm, between the inverse Tbit of the preset frequency and the duration Tfwhm of the pulses, is higher than 200/75 and lower than 10, but does teach that solitons should be sufficiently spaced apart so that they do not interact with each other (page 636, section 5.2.1, "Soliton interactions). On the other hand, it is also well known in the art that if such a ratio were much higher than necessary to prevent interactions (in other words, if the solitons were spaced very widely apart), data may not be transmitted efficiently or as quickly as possible.

Northern Telecom Limited teaches that solitons may be around 20% of the bit period width (page 4, lines 1-3). In other words, the ratio between the bit rate Tbit and the pulse duration Tfwhm may be around 5, which is within the claimed range. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to specify that the ratio Tbit/Tfwhm, between the inverse Tbit of the preset frequency and the duration Tfwhm of the pulses, be higher than 200/75

Page 10

Art Unit: 2633

and lower than 10, simply to ensure that the solitons did not interact, as O'Mahony already suggests, while ensuring that data be transmitted as efficiently as possible.

Regarding claim 43, AT&T Corp. teaches that the circuit for generating the first periodic electrical signal at the first frequency is driven by a clock signal (from synthesizer 510) associated with the information-bearing electrical signal (Figure 8). Regarding claim 44, AT&T Corp. teaches that the circuit for generating the second periodic electrical signal comprises a frequency multiplier 555 linked to the circuit for generating the first period electrical signal. Regarding claim 45, AT&T Corp. teaches that the circuit for generating the first periodic electrical signal comprises an output for a synchronization signal (output from phase control 530), the synchronization signal being in a preset time relationship with the clock signal, the output being linked to the signal modulator driver (Figure 8). Regarding claims 43-45, again it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the modulator drivers suggested by AT&T Corp. to drive the modulators disclosed by O'Mahony as a way to generate data modulator RZ signals for transmission in the system.

Regarding claim 47, O'Mahony discloses that the transmission station as discussed with regard to claim 42 may included in a pulsed transmission system (Figure 10) comprising at least one transmission station (including DFB laser and EA modulator elements) for transmitting an optical signal, one reception station (soliton receiver), one fiber-optic line linking the transmission station and the reception station and at least one optical amplifier (boost amplifier) serially linked along the fiber-optic line.

Regarding claim 48, O'Mahony discloses that the fiber-optic line has overall chromatic dispersion greater than zero at the wavelength of the optical signal, and regarding claim 49,

Art Unit: 2633

O'Mahony also discloses that the fiber-optic line comprises chromatic dispersion compensation means (dispersion shifted fiber or DSF) adapted to compensate a fraction of the chromatic dispersion of the line and such that the total chromatic dispersion of the line is between 100% and 120% of the compensated dispersion. It would be recognized by a person of ordinary skill in the art that the DSF disclosed by O'Mahony is designed to substantially compensate dispersion in the line and therefore, close to 100% of the dispersion may be compensated.

7. Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Mahony in view of AT&T Corp. and Northern Telecom Limited as applied to claim 42 above, and further in view of Watanabe (US 4093919 A).

Regarding claim 46, O'Mahony in view of AT&T Corp. discloses a optical pulse transmitter as discussed with regard to claim 42 above. Neither O'Mahony, AT&T Corp., nor Northern Telecom Limited specifically teaches that the combiner element may be a distributed-constants circuit. However, it is known in the art that combiners may be distributed-constants circuits, as Watanabe in particular teaches (column 6, lines 64-68; column 7, lines 1-16). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a distributed-constants circuit as the combiner in the system described by O'Mahony in view of AT&T Corp. and Northern Telecom Limited as an engineering design choice of a way to manufacture the combiner element.

8. Claims 50-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Mahony in view of AT&T Corp. and Northern Telecom Limited as applied to claim 49 above, and further in view of Meli et al. (US 5946117 A).

Page 11

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 50, O'Mahony in view of AT&T Corp. and Northern Telecom Limited suggest a system as discussed above with regard to claim 49. Neither O'Mahony, AT&T Corp., nor Northern Telecom Limited specifically disclose that the transmission station may comprise a plurality of transmitters. However, Meli et al. teach that a transmission system (Figure 14) including a transmission station, a reception station, a fiber-optic line and at least one optical amplifier, similar to the one suggested by O'Mahony, may include a plurality of high speed optical pulse transmitters 28a...d.

Meli et al. further teach that each transmitter may comprise a respective generator 28a...d of a continuous optical signal at a respective wavelength, different from that of the other units, each transmitter being able to generate an appropriate pulsed optical signal at a respective wavelength; and

a multiplexer 39 for combining the pulsed optical signals.

Wavelength division multiplexing as taught by Meli et al. is well known in the art, and it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include multiple transmitters and a multiplexer as taught by Meli et al. in the system described by O'Mahony in view of AT&T Corp. in order to increase the data capacity in the system.

Accordingly, regarding claim 51, Meli et al. further teaches that the reception station may comprise a wavelength demultiplexer 26 for separating the pulsed optical signals. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include a demultiplexer as taught by Meli et al. in the system described O'Mahony in view of AT&T Corp. and Meli et al. in order to recover the multiplexed signals.

Art Unit: 2633

9. Claim 52 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AT&T Corp. in view of Northern Telecom Limited.

Regarding claim 52, AT&T Corp. discloses a method (Figure 8) of high-speed optical transmission, comprising the steps of:

generating an optical signal (with laser 10);

modulating the optical signal (with modulator 30) with a periodic drive signal (output from combiner 585);

modulating the optical signal (with modulator 30) with an information bearing signal at a preset frequency (output from pattern generator 540); and

generating the periodic drive signal by combining (with combiner 585) a periodic signal at the preset frequency (output from phase control 530) and at least a periodic signal at a harmonic of the preset frequency (output from phase control 565). AT&T Corp. discloses that one of the periodic signals may be at the preset frequency while the other may be at a harmonic of the preset frequency (column 7, lines 2-20).

AT&T Corp. does not specifically disclose that the two periodic signals may be amplified, but it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art that such signals may be amplified as needed to produce signals of sufficient strength for use in the system as disclosed by AT&T Corp.

AT&T Corp. further discloses that the signal modulator emits a sequence of substantially chirp-free optical pulses (column 1, lines 46-58l column 2, lines 43-50). AT&T Corp. does not specifically disclose that the ratio Tbit/Tfwhm, between the inverse Tbit of the preset frequency and the duration Tfwhm of the pulses, is higher than 200/75 and lower than 10, but does teach

Art Unit: 2633

that solitons should be sufficiently spaced apart so that they do not interact with each other. On the other hand, it is also well known in the art that if such a ratio were much higher than necessary to prevent interactions (in other words, if the solitons were spaced very widely apart), data may not be transmitted efficiently or as quickly as possible.

Northern Telecom Limited teaches that solitons may be around 20% of the bit period width (page 4, lines 1-3). In other words, the ratio between the bit rate Tbit and the pulse duration Tfwhm may be around 5, which is within the claimed range. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to specify that the ratio Tbit/Tfwhm, between the inverse Tbit of the preset frequency and the duration Tfwhm of the pulses, be higher than 200/75 and lower than 10, simply to ensure that the solitons did not interact, as AT&T Corp. already suggests, while ensuring that data be transmitted as efficiently as possible.

Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 42-52 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 11. Applicants' arguments filed February 28, 2002 regarding claims 31-52 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 12. Examiner agrees with Applicants on page 9 of their response that Northern Telecom Limited (WO 96/27956) teaches that solitons may be about 20% of the bit period wide.

 However, Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicants' assertion on page 9 that Northern Telecom Limited does not address a bit rate parameter.

Examiner notes that Northern Telecom Limited not only addresses an optical pulse duration but clearly teaches a *ratio* between the pulse duration (corresponding to Tfwhm) and the

Art Unit: 2633

bit period. It is well known in the art that the bit period *is* the inverse of the bit rate (or in other words, bit period is the inverse of the frequency), as Applicants themselves suggest on page 16 of their specification. Examiner further notes that the parameter "Tbit" as used by Applicants in their disclosure and claims in fact refers to bit period, since it is also defined as the inverse of the bit rate. For example, Applicants on page 16 of their specification disclose that in a system where the bit period is around 200 ps, the pulse duration should bit less than 75 ps, thus supporting the limitation in Applicants' claims directed to the ratio between Tbit and Tfwhm being higher than 200/75.

Therefore, Examiner respectfully notes that Northern Telecom Limited teaches a ratio between the bit period and the pulse duration of about 5 by explicitly disclosing that the pulse duration may be 1/5 of the bit period. For example, if the bit period is around 200 ps, the teachings of Northern Telecom Limited suggest that the pulse duration should be around 40 ps, which is less than 75 ps as suggested by Applicants.

Conclusion

13. Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2633

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 16

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christina Y. Leung whose telephone number is 703-605-1186. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 6:30 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on 703-305-4729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

> JASON CHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600